A Comprehensive Study and Research on Short Circuit Detection Feature in DCAClab

Md. Anisur Rahman
Instructor at DCAClab,
Head of IT at Programming24 School
anisur@dcaclab.com

Samir Sabri
Founder at DCAClab,
Stem Education
info@dcaclab.com

***Abstract*—This project focuses on the design and implementation of an intelligent Short Circuit Detection system in the DCAClab circuit simulator. A short circuit occurs when an unintended low-resistance path is created, causing excessive current flow that can damage components, overheat circuits, or pose physical safety hazards. Our system monitors node current and branch voltage in real-time to uniquely identify abnormal operations indicative of a short circuit. Once detected, the system triggers real-time visual alerts and highlights fault paths, helping users prevent potential component damage and ensuring safe operational boundaries within the simulation environment. This advanced software implementation serves as both a practical educational framework for students and a functional prototype for modern real-world digital short-circuit monitoring configurations.**

Keywords—**Circuit Simulation, Short Circuit Detection, Real-time Monitoring, STEM Education, Electrical Safety, Impedance Analysis.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Short circuit detection refers to the automated mechanism of identifying when an unintended low-resistance connection occurs in an electronic or electrical grid layout, typically bridging two distinct nodes where potential difference ought to be normally regulated [1]. This abnormal structural connection causes an exponentially massive scale of current to flow through the low-impedance loop, inherently leading to heavy component deterioration, wire overheating, or localized fire ignition [1], [2]. Consequently, developing techniques to reliably recognize and respond to short circuits is critical for safeguarding expensive industrial components, stabilizing grid systems, and protecting field maintenance personnel [2], [3].

In modern virtual paradigms, the DCAClab Circuit Simulator introduces a real-time, high-fidelity Short Circuit Detection architecture—a unique benchmark feature setting a new standard for both academic laboratory work and digital instrumentation simulation suites [15]. DCAClab stands among the foundational computing engines in the educational software industry to intelligently process and interactively mitigate short circuit states concurrently with execution loops [15], [16]. This brings structural realism to online web applications while deepening student appreciation for safe configuration metrics [16].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Fault modeling and real-time loop checking have evolved across both hardware monitoring systems and modern numerical software simulations. Osman and Habiballah provided a foundational baseline on analytical short-circuit fault classification schemas, showing that multi-stage network matrices could isolate faults via branch properties [1]. To address distributed topologies where localized components generate varying source characteristics, Santos et al. proposed high-accuracy automation models evaluating impedance paths to prevent terminal degradation [2]. Similarly, transmission networks use hybridized diagnostic formulas based on voltage drop indices to distinguish line-to-line variations from nominal reactive power surges [3].

Early-stage mathematical checking focuses on identifying micro-impedance changes before massive thermal failures occur [4]. For electrical machines and multi-winding setups, inter-turn shorts change the overall reactance curves, presenting an operational signature that matches the dynamic frequency behavior found in capacitor failures [5]. Zhang and Zhang validated that bus lines and inverter grids must feature instantaneous circuit isolation algorithms to maintain continuity [6]. In permanent magnet synchronous machines, irreversible demagnetization highlights why automated alerts are needed during short circuits [7]. Modern power networks enforce severe parameters where converters actively adapt to multi-phase unbalanced loads [12], which is replicated in simulator structures using deep analytical algorithms [13]. Lastly, recent work shows that comprehensive protection maps must evaluate cross-component thresholds to identify failure cascades [8], [9], [10], [11], [14].

III. FUNDAMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OF SCHUTZ-DETECTION

In physical laboratory environments, physical errors lead to immediate, hazardous outcomes including charred breadboards, blown fuses, or damaged measurement tools [16]. In typical virtual simulators, the absence of real-world risks can cause students to develop poor habits, since shorted loops often produce unhandled matrix errors or ideal infinity currents without clear feedback [16].

By implementing an active evaluation engine, DCAClab connects software variables directly to actual circuit principles [15]. It challenges students to fix problematic topologies and alerts them to the destructive potential of short circuits before they work with real hardware [15], [16].

IV. ARCHITECTURAL IMPLEMENTATION AND INTELLIGENT ALGORITHMS

DCAClab's short circuit detection engine relies on numerical solvers running in real-time alongside Modified Nodal Analysis (MNA) routines. The system monitors the primary impedance path matrices, checking for conditions where node voltages collapse to identical potentials while branch current approaches infinite limits [13], [15].

A. Terminal Power Supply Faults (Scenario A)

When a low-resistance wire directly hooks the positive (+) and negative (−) nodes of a source model, the simulator flags the loop immediately [15]. The interface displays a distinct red alert labeled "SHORT" over the power supply graphic and highlights the high-current path [15], [16]. This visual change matches the voltage drops that occur in overloaded real-world batteries [14].

B. Ammeter Misconfiguration Check (Scenario B)

A frequent user error involves placing an ammeter in parallel across an active voltage drop [15]. Because ideal ammeters approach an internal resistance of **Rm = 0 Ohm**, they form an alternate path that bypasses the load [15]. The software detects this parallel bridge, halts normal current routing, marks the trace in red, and provides contextual help to guide the user toward proper series setup [15], [16].

C. Dynamic Reactive Component Reactance Evaluation

In alternating current (AC) environments, capacitors and inductors exhibit frequency-dependent behavior according to their reactance properties. These values are explicitly calculated in plaintext format:

XC = 1 / (2 * pi * f * C)

XL = 2 * pi * f * L

D. Detailed Scenario Fault Triggers (Scenarios C to J)

To fully isolate fault boundaries within the simulation environment, the engine tracks multi-scenario combinations spanning high-frequency reactance, ungrounded loops, and active semiconductor channels. The 10 distinct validation scenarios from the platform documentation are mapped continuously below:

- **Scenario C (Capacitor High Frequency):** When frequency parameter **f** scales up to **100 KHz**, the capacitor's internal reactance **XC** drops toward zero, executing an absolute trace bypass fault [5], [9].
- **Scenario D (Inductor Low Frequency):** Operating an inductor at very low frequencies (under **100 Hz**) causes its impedance **XL** to approach zero, forming an unintended short-circuit loop return [4].
- **Scenario E (Improper Ground Return Loops):** Combining multiple grounding connections without an intervening resistance load creates a zero-potential pathway, triggering an instant validation alert [1], [2].
- **Scenario F (Parallel Source Conflict):** Setting separate power cells in a parallel line setup with mismatched potentials creates unstable matrix equations, causing the solver to restrict loop operations [12].
- **Scenario G (Transformer Coil Overload):** Shorting the secondary winding terminal completely removes the reflected primary channel resistance, causing an extreme current surge [1].
- **Scenario H (Diode Network Miswire):** Inverting connection layouts or directly bridging alternating current inputs across direct outputs forces the diode matrix to highlight fault nodes [9], [10].
- **Scenario I (Zero Relay Resistance):** Reducing the internal coil parameter value directly to **0 Ohm** removes the structural path protection, rendering an active short-circuit loop [2].
- **Scenario J (Semiconductor Channel Bridges):** Shorting collector-to-emitter paths on BJTs or drain-to-source traces on MOSFETs bypasses active channels, prompting the solver to deploy an error overlay [5], [6].

TABLE I. SHORT CIRCUIT TOPOLOGY PROTECTIONS SUMMARY

Scenario	Fault Trigger Description	Triggered
A (Supply)	Direct wire across power supply terminals	Yes
B (Ammeter)	Ammeter placed in parallel with load	Yes
C (Capacitor)	High-frequency AC loop operation (100 KHz)	Yes
D (Inductor)	Low-frequency AC line operation (100 Hz)	Yes
E (Ground)	Multiple grounds connected with no load	Yes
F (Parallel)	Mismatched source outputs in parallel	Yes
G (Transformer)	Secondary winding bridged with zero load	Yes
H (Diode)	Reversing active bridge input nodes	Yes
I (Relay)	Coil parameter configured to 0 Ohm	Yes
J (Transistor)	Collector-Emitter / Gate-Drain bridges	Yes

V. EDUCATIONAL UTILITY AND PROFESSIONAL OUTLOOK

DCAClab combines rigorous circuit solving with clear visual feedback [15]. Key features include real-time tracking of branch impedance [15], frequency-aware AC fault analysis [15], and accurate modeling of measurement instrument constraints [15]. This interactive approach helps users develop strong troubleshooting and design skills [15], [16].

VI. CONCLUSION

Integrating automated short circuit checks into the DCAClab simulator bridges the gap between clean software models and unpredictable real-world electrical behavior [13], [15]. By monitoring loop parameters in real time, the engine protects virtual environments and provides students with clear feedback on electrical safety and circuit layout [15], [16]. This tool effectively reinforces proper circuit design principles for both academic and professional engineering applications [15], [16].

REFERENCES

[1] M. Osman and I. O. Habiballah, "A Review of Short-Circuit Fault Analysis and Novel Fault Detection Methods," *Int. Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 114–122, Dec. 2021.

[2] A. d. S. Santos, L. T. Faria, M. L. M. Lopes, A. D. P. Lotufo, and C. R. Minussi, "Efficient Methodology for Detection and Classification of Short-Circuit Faults in Distribution Systems with Distributed Generation," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 23, p. 9418, Nov. 2022.

[3] L. Brito Palma, "Hybrid Approach for Detection and Diagnosis of Short-Circuit Faults in Power Transmission Lines," *Energies*, vol. 17, no. 9, p. 2169, May 2024.

[4] "A New Method of Early Short Circuit Detection," *ResearchGate Technical Publication*, pp. 1–8, n.d.

[5] "Detection of Inter-Turn Short-Circuit Faults for Inverter-Fed Induction Machines," *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 15, p. 4844, 2025.

[6] C. Zhang and X. P. Zhang, "Bus Short-Circuit Fault Detection and Repair Technology for Improving Inverter System Reliability," *Open Access Library Journal*, vol. 11, pp. 1–15, 2024.

[7] "A Review of Winding Short-Circuit Fault and Irreversible Demagnetization Fault in PMSM: Diagnosis Techniques," *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 12, p. 3309, 2021.

[8] "Review of Short Circuit and Fault Analysis in Power Systems," *International Journal for Technological Research in Engineering (IJTRE)*, Technical Report, n.d.

[9] "A Literature Review of Fault Detection and Diagnostic Methods in Inverters," *Machines*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 631, 2022.

[10] "Universal Short-Circuit and Open-Circuit Fault Detection for an Inverter," in *Proceedings of the CURENT Conference*, Knoxville, TN, 2024.

[11] "Short and Open Circuit Faults Study in the PV System Inverter," *Semantic Scholar Technical Report*, pp. 12–19, n.d.

[12] "An Effective Methodology for Short-Circuit Calculation of Power Systems Dominated by Power Electronics Converters Considering Unbalanced Voltage Conditions and Converter Limits," arXiv preprint arXiv: 2204.05781, 2022.

[13] "Incipient Fault Detection in Power Distribution System: A Time-Frequency Embedded Deep Learning Based Approach," arXiv preprint arXiv: 2308.10442, 2023.

[14] T. Cai, P. Mohtat, A. G. Stefanopoulou, and J. B. Siegel, "Li-ion Battery Fault Detection in Large Packs Using Force and Gas Sensors," arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03441, 2020.

[15] "DCACLab – Online Circuit Simulator for STEM Education," DCACLab Official Website. Available: https://dcaclab.com

[16] "DCACLab Reviews – 2025 – Slashdot," Slashdot Infrastructure Analytics, 2025.